# Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District 

 Warner Elementary School
## 2021-2022 Campus Improvement Plan



## Mission Statement

Warner Elementary will provide learning opportunities for all students so that, as graduates, they will possess the qualities that will enable them to live meaningfully and successfully in society and in the workplace. The Cypress-Fairbanks ISD graduate will exhibit the following attributes:

Effective Communicator; Competent Problem-Solver; Self-directed Learner; Responsible Citizen, and a Quality Producer.

## Vision

Our mission at Warner Elementary is to instill within our students high standards for academic achievement, integrity, leadership, and to be a responsible citizen.
P.R.I.D.E.
Purpose
Respect
Integrity
Dependable
Effort
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## Comprehensive Needs Assessment

## Needs Assessment Overview

Needs Assessment Overview Summary

## Demographics

## Demographics Summary

The Warner Elementary staff included 71 teachers, 19 paraprofessionals, and 7 administrators in September 2019.
The student population as of September 2019 was:
34.5\% White

18\% Hispanic
12\% African American
31.4\% Asian
$3.5 \%$ Two or more races
16.8\% Economically Disadvantaged

## Demographics Strengths

Prior to being out for COVID-19 the data supported gains in

## Student Achievement

## Student Achievement Summary

## Student Achievement Strengths

Third Grade Reading: AA sub population in the area of "meets" from $59 \%$ to $65 \%$, this sub population was above the district and the cluster group $\%$
Third Grade Reading" LEP sub population was above the district and the cluster group \%
Third Grade Reading: increased in our AA sub population in the area of "approaches" from $77 \%$ from $94 \%$, this sub population was above the district and the cluster group $\%$
Third Grade Reading: LEP sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and the cluster group \%
Third Grade Reading: LEP sub population increased in the area of "Masters" by $1 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Third Grade Math: All in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group $\%$ with an $87 \%$
Third Grade Math: AA sub population in the area of "approaches" increased from $77 \%$ to $82 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Third Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: All was above the district and cluster in the area of "meets"
Third Grade Math: White sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: All in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: Economically Disabled sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Third Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Thirds Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: All group in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: Hispanic sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%

Fourth Grade Reading: White sub population in the area of " approaches" was above the district \%
Fourth Grade Reading: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: LEP sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: SpEd sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: All in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: Hispanic sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: White sub population in the area of "meets" was above in the district and the cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: LEP sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: SpEd sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group $\%$ and had an increase from $12 \%$ to $45 \%$
Fourth Grade Reading: All in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: AA sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster $\%$ and had an increase from $29 \%$ to $32 \%$
Fourth Grade Reading: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Reading: SpEd sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group $\%$ and had an increase from $12 \%$ to $18 \%$
Fourth Grade Writing: All in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Writing: Hispanic sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and the cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Writing: AA sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and the cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Writing: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Writing: LEP sub population in the area of "approaches" increased from $44 \%$ to $60 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Writing: SpEd sub population in the area of "approaches" increased from $29 \%$ to $41 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Writing: All in the area of "meets" increased from $57 \%$ to $59 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Writing: Hispanic sup population in the area of "meets" increased from $47 \%$ to $57 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Writing: AA sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Writing: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "meets" increased from $40 \%$ to $47 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group \%
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Fourth Grade Writing: LEP sub population in the area of "meets" increased from $11 \%$ to $33 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$ Fourth Grade Writing: SpEd sub population in the area of "meets" increase from $12 \%$ to $32 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$ Fourth Grade Writing: All group in the area of "masters" increased from $19 \%$ to $26 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$

Fourth Grade Writing: Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" increased from $9 \%$ to $26 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Writing: AA sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Writing: Economically Disadvantaged sub population group in the area of "masters" increased from $4 \%$ to $11 \%$ and was above the district and cluster $\%$ group $\%$
Fourth Grade Writing: LEP sub population group in the area of "masters" increase from $2 \%$ to $14 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Math: All in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: Hispanic sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: AA sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: White sub population in the area of "approaches' was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "approaches" increased from $45 \%$ to $75 \%$ an was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: All group in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: AA sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: White sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "meets' was above the district and cluster group $\%$ and increase from $44 \%$ to $50 \%$
Fourth Grade Math: All group in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group\%.
Fourth Grade Math: Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: AA sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: Economically Disadvantaged sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fourth Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "masters" increased from $33 \%$ to $44 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fourth Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "masters" increased from $18 \%$ to $24 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Reading: Hispanic sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
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Fifth Grade Reading: All group in the area of "meets" was above the district and campus group \%
Fifth Grade Reading: Hispanic sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Reading: White sub population in the the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Reading: LEP sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \% and increased from $36 \%$ to $43 \%$
Fifth Grade Reading: SpEd sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Reading: All group in the area of "masters" was above the district was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Reading: Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" increased from $57 \%$ to $68 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Reading: White sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Reading: SpEd sub population in the area of "masters" increase from $20 \%$ to $29 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$ Fifth Grade Math: Hispanic sub population in the area of "approaches" increased from $94 \%$ to $100 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$

Fifth Grade Math: White sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math: All group in the area of " meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math: Hispanic sub population in the area of "meets" increased from $82 \%$ to $85 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Math: White sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "meets" increase from $45 \%$ to $57 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "meets" increased from $40 \%$ to $50 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Math: All group in the area of "masters" as above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math : Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" increased from $61 \%$ to $73 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Math: White sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math: LEP sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Math: SpEd sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: Hispanic sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: White sub population in the area of "approaches" was above the district and cluster group \%

Fifth Grade Science: LEP sub population in the area of "approaches" increased from $64 \%$ to $71 \%$ and was above the district and cluster group $\%$
Fifth Grade Science: All group in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: Hispanic sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: White sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: LEP sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: SpEd sub population in the area of "meets" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: Hispanic sub population in the area of "masters" was above the district and cluster group \%
Fifth Grade Science: LEP sub population in the area of "master" was above the district and cluster group \%

## Problem Statements Identifying Student Achievement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Reading: Most student areas show a decrease in scores due to reading gaps. Root Cause: Reading: Due to COVID, hybrid and online learning students have learning gaps, we need analyze data and differentiate instruction to close the gaps.

Problem Statement 2: Writing: All student groups need to make the connection between reading and writing and using writing to express ideas. Root Cause: Writing: Writing is mostly expected in language arts class, we will increase the use of writing responses into each subject area helping to make the connection between reading and writing.

Problem Statement 3: Math: Most student groups show a decrease in scores due to educational gaps. Root Cause: Math: Due to COVID, hybrid and online learning students have learning gaps, we need analyze data and differentiate instruction to close the gaps.

Problem Statement 4: Science: Most student groups show a decrease in scores due to educational gaps. Root Cause: Science: Due to COVID, hybrid and online learning students have learning gaps, we need analyze data and differentiate instruction to close the gaps.

Problem Statement 5: Students are beginning the 2021-22 school year with learning gaps. Root Cause: The onset of COVID-19 in the spring of 2020 and the implications of modified instructional methods necessitated by the need for immediate remote learning.

## School Culture and Climate

## School Culture and Climate Strengths

Student attendance was 97.9
Our campus did not have any off campus suspensions.
As a school we completed all safety drills.
$99 \%$ of our staff feel safe at school.

## Problem Statements Identifying School Culture and Climate Needs

Problem Statement 1: School Culture and Climate: Inappropriate physical contact is an area we can improve on. Root Cause: School Culture and Climate: Identify the root of behavior issues and provide more appropriate outlets for students to use during times of frustration.

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention

## Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Strengths

- Staff members served as mentors for at-risk students.
- We had several members participate in the district Digital Learning conference this year.


## Problem Statements Identifying Staff Quality, Recruitment, and Retention Needs

Problem Statement 1: Teacher/Paraprofessional Attendance: We will continue to increase staff attendance to better serve our students and provide first best instruction. Root Cause: Teacher/Paraprofessional Attendance: We need to continue to stress the importance of teachers being in the classroom as much as possible.

## Parent and Community Engagement

## Parent and Community Engagement Strengths

- Parents participated in parent/teacher conferences
- Parent volunteers continued to be strong within our school.
- PTO supported student events at school.
- Most parents attended Meet the Teacher.


## Problem Statements Identifying Parent and Community Engagement Needs

Problem Statement 1: Parent and Community Engagement: Parents want to be a part of supporting our students academically as well. Root Cause: Parent and Community Engagement: Training would be necessary to provide parents with appropriate tools and strategies to support students.

## Priority Problem Statements

## Comprehensive Needs Assessment Data Documentation

The following data were used to verify the comprehensive needs assessment analysis:

## Improvement Planning Data

- District goals
- Campus goals
- HB3 Reading and math goals for PreK-3
- Performance Objectives with summative review (prior year)
- Campus/District improvement plans (current and prior years)
- Planning and decision making committee(s) meeting data


## Accountability Data

- Texas Academic Performance Report (TAPR) data
- Student Achievement Domain
- Student Progress Domain
- Closing the Gaps Domain
- Accountability Distinction Designations
- Federal Report Card Data
- Local Accountability Systems (LAS) data


## Student Data: Assessments

- State and federally required assessment information
- State and federally required assessment information (e.g. curriculum, eligibility, format, standards, accommodations, TEA information)
- State of Texas Assessments of Academic Readiness (STAAR) current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR End-of-Course current and longitudinal results, including all versions
- STAAR released test questions
- Texas English Language Proficiency Assessment System (TELPAS) and TELPAS Alternate results
- Texas Primary Reading Inventory (TPRI), Tejas LEE, or other alternate early reading assessment results
- Student Success Initiative (SSI) data for Grades 5 and 8
- SSI: Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) accelerated reading assessment data for Grades 3-5 (TEA approved statewide license)
- SSI: Think Through Math assessment data for Grades 3-8 and Algebra I (TEA approved statewide license)
- Student failure and/or retention rates
- Local diagnostic reading assessment data
- Local benchmark or common assessments data
- Running Records results
- Observation Survey results
- Istation Indicators of Progress (ISIP) reading assessment data for Grades PK-2
- Texas approved PreK - 2nd grade assessment data
- Texas approved Prekindergarten and Kindergarten assessment data


## Student Data: Student Groups

- Special education/non-special education population including discipline, progress and participation data
- At-risk/non-at-risk population including performance, progress, discipline, attendance, and mobility data
- EL/non-EL or LEP data, including academic achievement, progress, support and accommodation needs, race, ethnicity, gender, etc.
- Section 504 data
- Homeless data
- Gifted and talented data
- Dyslexia Data
- Response to Intervention (RtI) student achievement data


## Student Data: Behavior and Other Indicators

- Attendance data
- Mobility rate, including longitudinal data
- Discipline records
- Violence and/or violence prevention records
- Tobacco, alcohol, and other drug-use data
- Class size averages by grade and subject
- School safety data


## Employee Data

- Staff surveys and/or other feedback
- Teacher/Student Ratio
- State certified and high quality staff data
- Professional development needs assessment data
- Evaluation(s) of professional development implementation and impact
- T-PESS data


## Parent/Community Data

- Parent surveys and/or other feedback


## Support Systems and Other Data

- Processes and procedures for teaching and learning, including program implementation
- Study of best practices


## Goals

Goal 1: Academic Achievement: The district will ensure academic performance and achievement levels that reflect excellence in learning and attainment of both high expectations and high standards for all students.

Performance Objective 1: Curriculum and Instruction \& Accountability: By June 2022, students will meet or exceed the STAAR performance targets as noted on the attached CIP data table.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR Reading, Writing, Math and Science results
Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy 1: Reading: Target students for Read 180 instruction in 4th and 5th grade. Teachers will use components of balanced literacy to close the gaps within our targeted sub populations. Teachers will utilize the STAAR Ready Test supports for small group instruction. We will use the referenced materials as resources to support strategy groups and test talk to build testing and vocabulary skills. <br> Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet or exceed the targets on the attached CIP target tables. <br> Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Specialists, classroom teachers. | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  | 55 | 80 | 100\% |
| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| Strategy 2: Writing: Teachers will provide small group instruction through strategy groups focusing on revision and editing using the Writing Strategy Book. | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
| Implementation of Patterns of Power curriculum daily for all students groups. |  |  |  |
| Focus on multi-sensory grammar. |  |  |  |
| Administrative tutoring provided to targeted groups in during the Spring semester. <br> Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet or exceed the targets on the attached CIP target tables. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Specialist, classroom teachers. |  |  |  |
| Strategy 3 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| Strategy 3: Writing: Review of writing samples across grade levels and providing feedback to teachers. Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet or exceed the targets on the attached CIP target tables. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal, Assistant Principal, Instructional Specialists, classroom teachers | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  | 60\% | 85 | 100\% |



Goal 1: Academic Achievement: The district will ensure academic performance and achievement levels that reflect excellence in learning and attainment of both high expectations and high standards for all students.

Performance Objective 2: ESSER III: Throughout the 2021-22 school year, use the supplemental ESSER III funds to respond to the pandemic and to address student learning loss as a result of COVID-19.

Evaluation Data Sources: STAAR and Locally Developed Assessments

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy 1: Tutoring: This will target students that are not meeting grade level reading and math standards. <br> Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90\% of students targeted will show growth from their average score of pre/post assessments by grade level. <br> Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal <br> AP <br> IS's | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  | 30\% | 80\% | 100\% |
| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| Strategy 2: Core Content Area Interventionist: (Reading) <br> Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 90\% of students will show growth by the end of the school year. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal <br> AP <br> IS | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  |  | 80\% | 100\% |
| Strategy 3 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| Strategy 3: Temporary worker to support students in reading <br> Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: Meet or exceed the STAAR targets on the attached data table Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Principal <br> AP <br> IS | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  | 40\% | 75 | 100\% |
| No Progress $\quad \Rightarrow$ Continue/Modify $\quad$ Accomplished $\quad<$ Disc |  |  |  |

Goal 2: Safe and Healthy Learning Environment: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning.

Performance Objective 1: Student Safety: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, $100 \%$ of the district's safety policies will be implemented.
Evaluation Data Sources: Record of safety drills and other required safety actions
Summative Evaluation: Met Objective

| Strategy 1 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategy 1: Campus Safety: Continue to train staff on safety methods, drills and safety plans. Staff Responsible for Monitoring: Administrative team, | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  | $35 \%$ | 80\% | 100\% |
| Strategy 2 Details | Formative Reviews |  |  |
| Strategy 2: Conduct Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) safety drills (fire, shelter in place, intruder, crisis, evacuation, metal detectors, etc.) throughout the year. <br> Strategy's Expected Result/Impact: 100\% of Emergency Operating Procedure (EOP) safety drills will be conducted by scheduled deadlines. | Formative |  |  |
|  | Nov | Feb | May |
|  | 35\% |  | 100\% |
| No Progress $\quad \forall$ Continue/Modify $\quad$ Accomplished $\quad$ Discontinue |  |  |  |

Goal 2: Safe and Healthy Learning Environment: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning.

Performance Objective 2: Student Attendance: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, student attendance will be at $99 \%$.
Evaluation Data Sources: Student attendance records
Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective


Goal 2: Safe and Healthy Learning Environment: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning.

Performance Objective 3: Restorative Discipline: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, discipline referrals and exclusionary discipline actions will be decreased by $5 \%$.

Evaluation Data Sources: Discipline reports
Summative Evaluation: Significant progress made toward meeting Objective



Goal 2: Safe and Healthy Learning Environment: The district will provide a safe, disciplined, and healthy environment conducive to student learning.

Performance Objective 4: Coordinated Health Program: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, 100\% of the Campus School Health Advisory Council (CSHAC) Campus Plan will be implemented.

Evaluation Data Sources: Records of CSHAC plan implementation (ex: Lesson Plans etc.)
Summative Evaluation: Met Objective


Goal 3: Human Capital: The district will recruit, develop, and retain highly qualified and effective personnel reflective of our student demographics.

Performance Objective 1: Teacher/Paraprofessional Attendance: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, teacher/paraprofessional attendance will increase by $3.25 \%$.

Evaluation Data Sources: Teacher/Paraprofessional Attendance Reports
Summative Evaluation: Met Objective


Goal 3: Human Capital: The district will recruit, develop, and retain highly qualified and effective personnel reflective of our student demographics.

Performance Objective 2: Ensure that Teachers are Receiving High-Quality Professional Development: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, 100\% of teachers will receive job targeted professional development based on identified needs.

Evaluation Data Sources: Classroom implementation of professional learning
Walk-throughs
Lesson Plans
Summative Evaluation: Met Objective


Goal 4: Family and Community Engagement: Increase parent engagement on the campus and the methods of communication used to engage parents in school activities.

Performance Objective 1: By the end of the 2021-22 school year, parent and family engagement will increase by 5\%.
Evaluation Data Sources: Parent Survey
Activity sign-in sheets/records
Summative Evaluation: Met Objective


## State Compensatory

## Budget for Warner Elementary School <br> Total SCE Funds: <br> Total FTEs Funded by SCE: 1 <br> Brief Description of SCE Services and/or Programs

## Personnel for Warner Elementary School

| Name |  | Position | FTE |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2 positions | Reaching Enrichment/SGRI Teacher |  | 1 |

## Addendums

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability
2021-22 Approaches CIP Targets
The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | Tested 2021 | 2021 Approaches |  | 2022 Approaches Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth Needed | Tested$2022$ | 2022 Approaches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | All | 172 | 149 | 87\% | 90\% | 3\% | 191 | 175 | 92\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Hispanic | 29 | 19 | 66\% | 70\% | 4\% | 33 | 26 | 79\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 57 | 95\% | 97\% | 2\% | 66 | 65 | 98\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | African Am. | 17 | 14 | 82\% | 85\% | 3\% | 28 | 24 | 86\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 3 | Warner | White | 57 | 53 | 93\% | 95\% | 2\% | 57 | 54 | 95\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Two or More | 9 | 6 | 67\% | 70\% | 3\% | 7 | 6 | 86\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 24 | 15 | 63\% | 65\% | 2\% | 34 | 30 | 88\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | LEP Current | 24 | 21 | 88\% | 89\% | 1\% | 26 | 23 | 88\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | At-Risk | 61 | 50 | 82\% | 85\% | 3\% | 69 | 59 | 86\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 8 | 57\% | 59\% | 2\% | 18 | 12 | 67\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | All | 180 | 160 | 89\% | 92\% | 3\% | 196 | 172 | 88\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Hispanic | 34 | 27 | 79\% | 82\% | 3\% | 36 | 27 | 75\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 56 | 93\% | 95\% | 2\% | 67 | 65 | 97\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | African Am. | 19 | 14 | 74\% | 77\% | 3\% | 23 | 18 | 78\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 4 | Warner | White | 60 | 56 | 93\% | 96\% | 3\% | 59 | 54 | 92\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Two or More | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 11 | 8 | 73\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 20 | 15 | 75\% | 78\% | 3\% | 32 | 25 | 78\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | LEP Current | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 78\% | 3\% | 26 | 22 | 85\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | At-Risk | 45 | 41 | 91\% | 93\% | 2\% | 74 | 60 | 81\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | SPED | 21 | 10 | 48\% | 51\% | 3\% | 13 | 6 | 46\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | All | 167 | 154 | 92\% | 95\% | 3\% | 212 | 201 | 95\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 26 | 26 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 39 | 35 | 90\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Asian | 52 | 50 | 96\% | 99\% | 3\% | 72 | 70 | 97\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 28 | 20 | 71\% | 74\% | 3\% | 29 | 24 | 83\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 54 | 98\% | 100\% | 2\% | 64 | 64 | 100\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 8 | 100\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 16 | 73\% | 75\% | 2\% | 38 | 32 | 84\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 5 | 71\% | 73\% | 2\% | 17 | 14 | 82\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 65 | 54 | 83\% | 85\% | 2\% | 89 | 78 | 88\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | SPED | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 78\% | 3\% | 23 | 14 | 61\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability
2021-22 Approaches CIP Targets
The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | Tested 2021 | 2021 Approaches |  | 2022 Approaches Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth Needed | Tested 2022 | 2022 Approaches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | All | 172 | 150 | 87\% | 90\% | 3\% | 191 | 181 | 95\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Hispanic | 29 | 19 | 66\% | 70\% | 4\% | 33 | 30 | 91\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 58 | 97\% | 100\% | 3\% | 66 | 64 | 97\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | African Am. | 17 | 16 | 94\% | 97\% | 3\% | 28 | 25 | 89\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | White | 57 | 49 | 86\% | 89\% | 3\% | 57 | 55 | 96\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Two or More | 9 | 8 | 89\% | 90\% | 1\% | 7 | 7 | 100\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 24 | 17 | 71\% | 73\% | 2\% | 34 | 32 | 94\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | LEP Current | 24 | 19 | 79\% | 82\% | 3\% | 26 | 24 | 92\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | At-Risk | 61 | 48 | 79\% | 82\% | 3\% | 69 | 60 | 87\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 7 | 50\% | 53\% | 3\% | 18 | 14 | 78\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | All | 180 | 154 | 86\% | 90\% | 4\% | 196 | 179 | 91\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Hispanic | 34 | 28 | 82\% | 85\% | 3\% | 36 | 29 | 81\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 56 | 93\% | 95\% | 2\% | 67 | 66 | 99\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | African Am. | 19 | 11 | 58\% | 61\% | 3\% | 23 | 20 | 87\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | White | 60 | 52 | 87\% | 90\% | 3\% | 59 | 55 | 93\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Two or More | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 11 | 9 | 82\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 20 | 16 | 80\% | 83\% | 3\% | 32 | 28 | 88\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | LEP Current | 16 | 12 | 75\% | 78\% | 3\% | 26 | 23 | 88\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | At-Risk | 45 | 40 | 89\% | 91\% | 2\% | 74 | 62 | 84\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | SPED | 22 | 14 | 64\% | 67\% | 3\% | 13 | 6 | 46\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | All | 164 | 145 | 88\% | 90\% | 2\% | 213 | 202 | 95\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 25 | 22 | 88\% | 90\% | 2\% | 39 | 34 | 87\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Asian | 50 | 47 | 94\% | 95\% | 1\% | 73 | 71 | 97\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 28 | 20 | 71\% | 73\% | 2\% | 29 | 26 | 90\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 51 | 93\% | 95\% | 2\% | 64 | 63 | 98\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 8 | 100\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 15 | 68\% | 70\% | 2\% | 38 | 34 | 89\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 59\% | 2\% | 17 | 15 | 88\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 65 | 51 | 78\% | 80\% | 2\% | 89 | 78 | 88\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 7 | 50\% | 52\% | 2\% | 23 | 15 | 65\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability

## 2021-22 Approaches CIP Targets

The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tested } \\ 2021 \end{gathered}$ | 2021 Approaches |  | 2022 Approaches Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth <br> Needed | Tested 2022 | 2022 Approaches |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | All | 164 | 144 | 88\% | 90\% | 2\% | 213 | 197 | 92\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 25 | 23 | 92\% | 95\% | 3\% | 39 | 36 | 92\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Asian | 51 | 49 | 96\% | 99\% | 3\% | 73 | 70 | 96\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 27 | 16 | 59\% | 61\% | 2\% | 29 | 24 | 83\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Science | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 52 | 95\% | 98\% | 3\% | 64 | 60 | 94\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 7 | 88\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 15 | 68\% | 70\% | 2\% | 38 | 31 | 82\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 5 | 71\% | 73\% | 2\% | 17 | 14 | 82\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 64 | 47 | 73\% | 75\% | 2\% | 89 | 75 | 84\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | SPED | 15 | 8 | 53\% | 55\% | 2\% | 23 | 14 | 61\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability

## 2021-22 Meets CIP Targets

The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | Tested 2021 | 2021 Meets |  | 2022 Meets Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth Needed | Tested 2022 | 2022 Meets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | All | 180 | 126 | 70\% | 80\% | 10\% | 196 | 130 | 66\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Hispanic | 34 | 16 | 47\% | 50\% | 3\% | 36 | 19 | 53\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 54 | 90\% | 93\% | 3\% | 67 | 56 | 84\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | African Am. | 19 | 9 | 47\% | 50\% | 3\% | 23 | 8 | 35\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 4 | Warner | White | 60 | 40 | 67\% | 70\% | 3\% | 59 | 40 | 68\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Two or More | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 11 | 7 | 64\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 20 | 7 | 35\% | 38\% | 3\% | 32 | 13 | 41\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | LEP Current | 16 | 8 | 50\% | 53\% | 3\% | 26 | 16 | 62\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | At-Risk | 45 | 32 | 71\% | 74\% | 3\% | 74 | 39 | 53\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | SPED | 21 | 6 | 29\% | 35\% | 6\% | 13 | 2 | 15\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | All | 167 | 135 | 81\% | 84\% | 3\% | 212 | 185 | 87\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 26 | 22 | 85\% | 88\% | 3\% | 39 | 32 | 82\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Asian | 52 | 48 | 92\% | 95\% | 3\% | 72 | 69 | 96\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 28 | 13 | 46\% | 49\% | 3\% | 29 | 21 | 72\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 49 | 89\% | 92\% | 3\% | 64 | 56 | 88\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 7 | 88\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 11 | 50\% | 53\% | 3\% | 38 | 25 | 66\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 4 | 57\% | 60\% | 3\% | 17 | 13 | 76\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 65 | 41 | 63\% | 65\% | 2\% | 89 | 66 | 74\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | SPED | 16 | 8 | 50\% | 53\% | 3\% | 23 | 6 | 26\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | All | 180 | 120 | 67\% | 70\% | 3\% | 196 | 153 | 78\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Hispanic | 34 | 18 | 53\% | 56\% | 3\% | 36 | 21 | 58\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 45 | 75\% | 77\% | 2\% | 67 | 61 | 91\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | African Am. | 19 | 8 | 42\% | 46\% | 4\% | 23 | 17 | 74\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | White | 60 | 42 | 70\% | 73\% | 3\% | 59 | 47 | 80\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Two or More | 7 | 7 | 100\% | 100\% | 0\% | 11 | 7 | 64\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 20 | 9 | 45\% | 48\% | 3\% | 32 | 21 | 66\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | LEP Current | 16 | 3 | 19\% | 23\% | 4\% | 26 | 18 | 69\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | At-Risk | 45 | 26 | 58\% | 61\% | 3\% | 74 | 47 | 64\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | SPED | 22 | 10 | 45\% | 48\% | 3\% | 13 | 4 | 31\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability

## 2021-22 Meets CIP Targets

The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | Tested 2021 | 2021 Meets |  | 2022 Meets Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth <br> Needed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tested } \\ 2022 \end{gathered}$ | 2022 Meets |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | All | 164 | 124 | 76\% | 79\% | 3\% | 213 | 174 | 82\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 25 | 18 | 72\% | 75\% | 3\% | 39 | 26 | 67\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Asian | 50 | 46 | 92\% | 95\% | 3\% | 73 | 68 | 93\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 28 | 12 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 29 | 19 | 66\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 44 | 80\% | 83\% | 3\% | 64 | 54 | 84\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 7 | 88\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 11 | 50\% | 53\% | 3\% | 38 | 28 | 74\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 3 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 17 | 10 | 59\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 65 | 37 | 57\% | 60\% | 3\% | 89 | 54 | 61\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 5 | 36\% | 39\% | 3\% | 23 | 6 | 26\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | All | 164 | 116 | 71\% | 74\% | 3\% | 213 | 162 | 76\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 25 | 17 | 68\% | 71\% | 3\% | 39 | 25 | 64\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Asian | 51 | 43 | 84\% | 87\% | 3\% | 73 | 68 | 93\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 27 | 9 | 33\% | 36\% | 3\% | 29 | 18 | 62\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Science | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 44 | 80\% | 83\% | 3\% | 64 | 45 | 70\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 6 | 75\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 7 | 32\% | 35\% | 3\% | 38 | 23 | 61\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 3 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 17 | 9 | 53\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 64 | 33 | 52\% | 56\% | 4\% | 89 | 50 | 56\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | SPED | 15 | 4 | 27\% | 30\% | 3\% | 23 | 5 | 22\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability

## 2021-22 Masters CIP Targets

The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | Tested 2021 | 2021 Masters |  | 2022 Masters Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth Needed | Tested 2022 | 2022 Masters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | All | 172 | 49 | 28\% | 31\% | 3\% | 191 | 85 | 45\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Hispanic | 29 | 6 | 21\% | 23\% | 2\% | 33 | 7 | 21\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 29 | 48\% | 51\% | 3\% | 66 | 38 | 58\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | African Am. | 17 | 2 | 12\% | 15\% | 3\% | 28 | 11 | 39\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 3 | Warner | White | 57 | 11 | 19\% | 22\% | 3\% | 57 | 26 | 46\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Two or More | 9 | 1 | 11\% | 14\% | 3\% | 7 | 3 | 43\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 24 | 4 | 17\% | 20\% | 3\% | 34 | 9 | 26\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | LEP Current | 24 | 5 | 21\% | 24\% | 3\% | 26 | 11 | 42\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | At-Risk | 61 | 17 | 28\% | 31\% | 3\% | 69 | 26 | 38\% |
| Math | 3 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 2 | 14\% | 17\% | 3\% | 18 | 5 | 28\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | All | 180 | 88 | 49\% | 51\% | 2\% | 196 | 93 | 47\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Hispanic | 34 | 11 | 32\% | 35\% | 3\% | 36 | 11 | 31\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 41 | 68\% | 71\% | 3\% | 67 | 46 | 69\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | African Am. | 19 | 7 | 37\% | 40\% | 3\% | 23 | 4 | 17\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 4 | Warner | White | 60 | 26 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 59 | 28 | 47\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Two or More | 7 | 3 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 11 | 4 | 36\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 20 | 6 | 30\% | 33\% | 3\% | 32 | 9 | 28\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | LEP Current | 16 | 7 | 44\% | 47\% | 3\% | 26 | 10 | 38\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | At-Risk | 45 | 22 | 49\% | 52\% | 3\% | 74 | 24 | 32\% |
| Math | 4 | Warner | SPED | 21 | 5 | 24\% | 27\% | 3\% | 13 | 1 | 8\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | All | 167 | 108 | 65\% | 68\% | 3\% | 212 | 142 | 67\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 26 | 19 | 73\% | 76\% | 3\% | 39 | 24 | 62\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Asian | 52 | 42 | 81\% | 84\% | 3\% | 72 | 62 | 86\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 28 | 7 | 25\% | 28\% | 3\% | 29 | 11 | 38\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Math | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 37 | 67\% | 70\% | 3\% | 64 | 39 | 61\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 6 | 75\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 5 | 23\% | 25\% | 2\% | 38 | 19 | 50\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 2 | 29\% | 32\% | 3\% | 17 | 11 | 65\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 65 | 28 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 89 | 46 | 52\% |
| Math | 5 | Warner | SPED | 16 | 4 | 25\% | 27\% | 2\% | 23 | 2 | 9\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability

## 2021-22 Masters CIP Targets

The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | Tested 2021 | 2021 Masters |  | 2022 Masters Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth <br> Needed | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tested } \\ 2022 \end{gathered}$ | 2022 Masters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | All | 172 | 65 | 38\% | 42\% | 4\% | 191 | 111 | 58\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Hispanic | 29 | 9 | 31\% | 34\% | 3\% | 33 | 19 | 58\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 27 | 45\% | 48\% | 3\% | 66 | 44 | 67\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | African Am. | 17 | 5 | 29\% | 32\% | 3\% | 28 | 15 | 54\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | White | 57 | 21 | 37\% | 41\% | 4\% | 57 | 31 | 54\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Two or More | 9 | 3 | 33\% | 35\% | 2\% | 7 | 2 | 29\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 24 | 3 | 13\% | 15\% | 2\% | 34 | 13 | 38\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | LEP Current | 24 | 6 | 25\% | 28\% | 3\% | 26 | 11 | 42\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | At-Risk | 61 | 19 | 31\% | 34\% | 3\% | 69 | 32 | 46\% |
| Reading | 3 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 1 | 7\% | 10\% | 3\% | 18 | 4 | 22\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | All | 180 | 68 | 38\% | 41\% | 3\% | 196 | 100 | 51\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Hispanic | 34 | 11 | 32\% | 35\% | 3\% | 36 | 15 | 42\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Asian | 60 | 26 | 43\% | 46\% | 3\% | 67 | 45 | 67\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | African Am. | 19 | 6 | 32\% | 35\% | 3\% | 23 | 8 | 35\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | White | 60 | 20 | 33\% | 36\% | 3\% | 59 | 28 | 47\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Two or More | 7 | 5 | 71\% | 74\% | 3\% | 11 | 4 | 36\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 20 | 5 | 25\% | 28\% | 3\% | 32 | 11 | 34\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | LEP Current | 16 | 1 | 6\% | 9\% | 3\% | 26 | 6 | 23\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | At-Risk | 45 | 13 | 29\% | 32\% | 3\% | 74 | 22 | 30\% |
| Reading | 4 | Warner | SPED | 22 | 4 | 18\% | 21\% | 3\% | 13 | 2 | 15\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | All | 164 | 99 | 60\% | 63\% | 3\% | 213 | 141 | 66\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 25 | 17 | 68\% | 71\% | 3\% | 39 | 21 | 54\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Asian | 50 | 37 | 74\% | 77\% | 3\% | 73 | 61 | 84\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 28 | 7 | 25\% | 28\% | 3\% | 29 | 12 | 41\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 36 | 65\% | 68\% | 3\% | 64 | 40 | 63\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 7 | 88\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 6 | 27\% | 29\% | 2\% | 38 | 21 | 55\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 1 | 14\% | 17\% | 3\% | 17 | 7 | 41\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 65 | 25 | 38\% | 41\% | 3\% | 89 | 38 | 43\% |
| Reading | 5 | Warner | SPED | 14 | 4 | 29\% | 31\% | 2\% | 23 | 2 | 9\% |

Cypress-Fairbanks Independent School District
Department of District Improvement and Accountability

## 2021-22 Masters CIP Targets

The targets listed below meet minimum expectations.
Campuses are responsible for meeting the CIP targets as well as state and federal accountability targets.

| Content | Grade | Campus | Student Group | $\begin{gathered} \text { Tested } \\ 2021 \end{gathered}$ | 2021 Masters |  | 2022 Masters Incremental Growth Target | \% Growth <br> Needed | Tested 2022 | 2022 Masters |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \# | \% |  |  |  | \# | \% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | All | 164 | 65 | 40\% | 43\% | 3\% | 213 | 107 | 50\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Hispanic | 25 | 10 | 40\% | 43\% | 3\% | 39 | 16 | 41\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Am. Indian | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Asian | 51 | 29 | 57\% | 60\% | 3\% | 73 | 48 | 66\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | African Am. | 27 | 3 | 11\% | 14\% | 3\% | 29 | 10 | 34\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Pac. Islander | * | * | * | * | * | * | * | * |
| Science | 5 | Warner | White | 55 | 22 | 40\% | 43\% | 3\% | 64 | 28 | 44\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Two or More | * | * | * | * | * | 8 | 5 | 63\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | Eco. Dis. | 22 | 3 | 14\% | 17\% | 3\% | 38 | 13 | 34\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | LEP Current | 7 | 1 | 14\% | 17\% | 3\% | 17 | 5 | 29\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | At-Risk | 64 | 19 | 30\% | 33\% | 3\% | 89 | 26 | 29\% |
| Science | 5 | Warner | SPED | 15 | 0 | 0\% | 20\% | 20\% | 23 | 1 | 4\% |

## Warner

| Early Childhood Literacy Board Outcome Goal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Reading will increase from $\mathbf{7 2 \%}$ to $\mathbf{8 2 \%}$ by June $\mathbf{2 0 2 5}$. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Yearly Target Goals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2021 |  |  | 2022 |  |  | 2023 |  |  | 2024 |  |  | 2025 |  |
| 72\% |  |  | 74\% |  |  | 76\% |  |  | 79\% |  |  | 82\% |  |
| Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | African American | Hispanic | White | $\begin{aligned} & \text { American } \\ & \text { Indian } \end{aligned}$ | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eco. } \\ & \text { Disadv. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Former) } \end{aligned}$ | EL | Cont. Enrolled | Non-Cont |
| 2021 |  | 72\% | 69\% |  | 85\% |  |  |  | 50\% |  | 81\% | 72\% | 75\% |
| 2022 | NA | 74\% | 71\% | NA | 87\% | NA | NA | NA | 52\% | NA | 83\% | 74\% | 77\% |
| 2023 | NA | 76\% | 73\% | NA | 89\% | NA | NA | NA | 54\% | NA | 85\% | 76\% | 79\% |
| 2024 | NA | 79\% | 76\% | NA | 92\% | NA | NA | NA | 57\% | NA | 88\% | 79\% | 82\% |
| 2025 | NA | 82\% | 79\% | NA | 95\% | NA | NA | NA | 60\% | NA | 91\% | 82\% | 85\% |

## Early Childhood Math Board Outcome Goal

The percent of 3rd grade students that score meets grade level or above on STAAR Math will increase from 70\% to 80\% by June 2025.

| Yearly Target Goals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2021 |  | 2022 |  |  | 2023 |  |  | 2024 |  |  | 2025 |  |  |
| 70\% |  | 72\% |  |  | 74\% |  |  | 77\% |  |  | 80\% |  |  |
| Closing the Gaps Student Groups Yearly Targets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | African American | Hispanic | White | American Indian | Asian | Pacific Islander | Two or More Races | Special Ed | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eco. } \\ & \text { Disadv. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Special } \\ & \text { Ed } \\ & \text { (Former) } \end{aligned}$ | EL | Cont. Enrolled | Non-Cont Enrolled |
| 2021 |  | 59\% | 71\% |  | 85\% |  |  |  | 50\% |  | 78\% | 71\% | 67\% |
| 2022 | NA | 61\% | 73\% | NA | 87\% | NA | NA | NA | 52\% | NA | 80\% | 73\% | 69\% |
| 2023 | NA | 63\% | 75\% | NA | 89\% | NA | NA | NA | 54\% | NA | 82\% | 75\% | 71\% |
| 2024 | NA | 66\% | 78\% | NA | 92\% | NA | NA | NA | 57\% | NA | 85\% | 78\% | 74\% |
| 2025 | NA | 69\% | 81\% | NA | 95\% | NA | NA | NA | 60\% | NA | 88\% | 81\% | 77\% |

## CYPRESS-FAIRBANKS ISD Standard Expectations

The following activities will no longer appear in the District Improvement Plan or the Campus Improvement Plans, since they represent practices that are expected to happen in an ongoing manner to provide instructional "standard operating procedures."

## Curriculum and Instruction

- The District provides a common curriculum for all subjects at every grade level with appropriate learning experiences based on the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS) and ensures that all students, no matter which campus they attend, receive the same curriculum.
- The District curriculum staff updates and revises the curriculum regularly considering teacher input, state and district assessment data, and current research and best practices. The curriculum includes scope and sequence, pacing guides, instructional resources, model lessons, and assessment items that support the content area while addressing the needs of a diverse student population.
- The District curriculum resides in Schoology, the learning management system. Schoology is used to its fullest capacity: lesson planning, resource selection, assessments, data digging, and data interpretations for instructional decisions.
- Teacher teams meet weekly (the appropriate number of times using Schoology) to plan collaboratively and develop effective, relevant lessons that focus on creating classroom experiences that meet students' needs while maximizing first-time instruction and learning. These classroom experiences provide opportunities in which students
- use technology (including but is not limited to online textbooks, animations/videos, simulations, reports, assessments, information graphics, probe ware, graphing calculators, programs, etc.) to support the learning of the TEKS;
- generate and translate between multiple representations (graphs, diagrams, pictures, equations, tables, poems, advertisements, etc.);
- develop academic language proficiency through speaking, reading, writing, and listening;
- develop stamina to solve complex problems, read long passages and questions, and transfer knowledge to other situations and/or disciplines; and
- have time to make sense of their learning (reflective journaling, student discourse, collaborative group work, Socratic seminars, etc.).
- The District provides and campuses follow student placement guidelines and scheduling protocols (Blue Book, Elementary Administrative Handbook, Master's Scheduler Handbook, etc.) ensuring that students are placed in the appropriate classrooms/programs and are ready and able to achieve at high levels.


## Monitoring

- Campus leaders use various strategies, processes, and/or procedures to monitor the standard expectations to ensure fidelity. Examples include but are not limited to
- review of lesson plans;
- participation in team planning by administrators;
- participation in data review/data dig sessions; and
- monitor Schoology use.
- Campus leaders gather data, and coach teams and individual teachers in order to improve the impact of first-time instruction and learning.


## Assessment and Data Analysis

- The District develops and campuses administer assessments (District Progress Monitors, benchmark assessments, unit tests, check points, etc.) based on the established assessment calendars.
- Teacher teams review student data from multiple sources (DPMs, benchmark assessments, unit tests, check points, etc.) and develop a response that supports and defines methods for re-teaching and re-evaluating to ensure all students learn the content.
- Each teacher reviews data at the individual student level in an effort to adjust instruction and provide support so that every student has opportunity to master the content.
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## Elementary Content Area Standard Expectations

## Literacy (Reading and Writing)

- Maximize instructional time by developing, posting, and consistently following a balanced literacy schedule that contains all components.
- Teach/re-teach the reading and writing process throughout the school year and ensure that students read and write each day.
- Utilize reading and writing workshop strategies to teach and reinforce critical TEKS (think aloud, modeling reading and writing processes in mini-lessons, interactive read aloud with accountable talk, independent reading and writing, small group instruction, conferring, and whole group share time).
- Use varied, authentic literature as mentor texts in reading and writing.
- Allow student choice from among varied genres and reading levels during independent reading time from classroom and digital libraries.
- Post and use anchor charts, created with students, in literacy classrooms.
- Maintain a monitoring notebook as documentation of individual student's progress observed during small group instruction and/or reading/writing conferences.
- Use varied, research-based strategies to teach revising and editing skills and apply language conventions within the context of writing.
- Use the Continuum of Literacy Learning and District and campus data to differentiate literacy instruction using individual conferences, small group instruction, and/or strategy group instruction.
- Integrate social studies and theater arts TEKS in literacy classes through read aloud and reading and writing workshop.
- 1:1 Technology in the Language Arts classroom should provide opportunities for students to:
- Use Chromebook devices to engage in face-to-face and digital creation and collaboration
- Locate and access information and resources stored in different platforms such as Google Drive and Schoology
- Communicate and share conclusions using digital tools such as Google Suite, Flipgrid, WeVideo etc.
- Incorporate the use of digital tools such as:
- Google Suite
- Scholastic Literacy Pro
- Scholastic Storyworks (2 $\left.2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}\right)$
- Amplify Reading
- Amira Suite
- HMH Suite
- Library Resources
- Schoology
- Incorporate the use of technology inside the Language Arts classroom when it is the most effective and developmentally appropriate tool for the task being asked of the student
- Utilized only after explicit and systematic instruction of literacy processes has occurred and not in place of first instruction


## Mathematics

- Model and expect students to use a problem-solving process.
- Post and use classroom-created anchor charts in math classrooms.
- Facilitate fact fluency/numeracy for 10-15 minutes daily during math instruction to develop automaticity. This can be accomplished using Number Talks, Math Talks, CFISD Fact Fluency Plan, and other content conversation routines.
- "Procedural fluency refers to knowledge of procedures, knowledge or when and how to use them appropriately, and skill in performing them flexibly, accurately, and efficiently." NRC (2001)
- Automaticity is fast recall of facts which seemingly appear instant.
- Use math manipulatives to help students develop concept understandings.
- Include teaching strategies and questions designed to promote higher-level thinking in lesson plans to improve first-time learning, which includes time for productive struggle.
- Use and encourage students to use precise mathematical vocabulary.
- Use Interactive Math Notebooks in $2^{\text {nd }}-5^{\text {th }}$ grade.
- Incorporate the use of small-group instruction to meet the needs of individual learners.
- Encourage student discourse/discussion including "what do you notice/wonder" and justifications.
- 1:1 Technology in the math classroom should provide opportunities for students to:
- Use the rule of thumb of a weekly average use of the following:
- 55\% paper resource, 35\% digital resource, 10\% flex
- Use Chromebook devices to engage in digital creation and collaboration
- Incorporate the use of digital tools such as ST Math, Gizmos, ClassFlow, Interactive Textbook, Schoology, Google Suite, etc.
- Incorporate the use of technology inside the math classroom when it is the most effective tool for the task being asked of the student
- Communicate and share products using digital tools such as Google Suites, WeVideo, FlipGrid, etc.
- Use technology to discover relationships and/or make connections between representations of mathematics, beyond skills practice


## Science

Teachers will develop science-literate students by creating learning opportunities using the 5E Instructional Model (grades 2-5) that engage students in scientific practices that require them to

- Ask questions, identify problems, plan and conduct classroom and field investigations to answer questions according to grade-level TEKS expectations (K-1 $=80 \%$ of the time, $2^{\text {nd }}-3$ rd $=60 \%$ of the time, $4^{\text {th }}-5^{\text {th }}=50 \%$ of the time).
- Use a science notebook (grades 2-5) to collect and organize data in simple graphs, tables, maps, and charts.
- Analyze data using math to derive meaning, identify patterns, and discover relationships.
- Engage in a common inquiry experience to make sense of and develop scientific concepts and vocabulary.
- Develop evidence-based explanations and communicate findings, conclusions, and proposed solutions.
- Engage respectfully in scientific discussion by listening, speaking, reading, and scientific writing.
- Incorporate the use of technology when it is the most effective tool for the task.
- 1:1 Technology in the science classroom should provide opportunities for students to:
- Use Chromebook devices to engage in face-to-face and digital collaboration;
- Locate and access information and resources stored in different platforms such as Google Drive and Schoology
- Explore simulations (e.g. Explore Learning Gizmos, Interactive textbook, etc.);
- Collect and represent data using digital tools such as digital microscopes, Google Suite, etc;
- Communicate and share conclusions using digital tools such as; Google Suite, Flipgrid, WeVideo etc.


## Elementary Physical Education/Health (K-5)

- Utilize best practices for providing skills-based instruction in elementary physical education and health
- Utilize best practices to achieve moderate to vigorous physical activity
- Differentiate teaching strategies to meet individual student needs including allowing for student choice when possible and appropriate
- Provide engaging instruction with the goal of promoting the development of lifelong health and fitness
- Utilize technology to encourage movement and physical activity as appropriate
- Utilize the resources available to teachers including the CFISD Elementary PE Required Skills Units; Focused Fitness resources (digital); SPARK (digital); CATCH materials; and, Health Curriculum Videos.
- Provide the required fitness assessments for students in grades three, four, and five
- Participate in activities and events that promote school and community involvement


## Elementary Music (K-5)

- Develop the singing voice as the foundation of music learning through folk, patriotic, seasonal, and songs of diverse genres
- Provide music experiences through activities that include listening, movement, improvisation, and playing a variety of classroom rhythm and Orff instruments
- Create lessons and utilize activities that develop understanding of the elements of music such as rhythm, dynamics, melody, harmony, tone color (timbre), texture, and form
- Utilize the resources available to teachers, including the CFISD adopted instructional materials, CFISD Scope and Sequence and CFISD Curriculum Standards
- Use 1:1 technology as a resource for self-exploration of topics and careers in music
- Encourage students to connect learning in music with other areas of knowledge such as math, reading, and social studies
- Participate in activities and events that promote school and community involvement


## Visual Arts (K-5)

- Model and teach artistic thinking - which means prompting curiosity and asking questions to develop ideas.
- Create open-ended lessons encouraging the voice and experiences of students through creative approaches and unique solutions.
- Introduce a variety of processes/media to demonstrate skills and techniques (not solutions).
- Explore careers associated with visual culture.
- Reflect on teaching practices to enhance professional development.
- Utilize the resources available to teachers including the CFISD adopted instructional materials, 1:1 technology, CFISD Benchmarks and CFISD Curriculum Standards.
- Encourage excellence by providing multiple opportunities for the students to compete in various settings including the Houston Rodeo School Art Contest, and the Texas Elementary Art Meet (TEAM contest).
- Participate in activities and events that promote school and community involvement, such as campus and districtwide art exhibits.

